

### Remarks/Arguments

This submission is in response to the Office Action mailed July 2, 2007. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested, in view of the following remarks.

In the office action, the Examiner required election of one of the following two claim groups:


Group I: Claims 1-7, drawn to products; and

Group II: Claim 13, drawn to a method of use of products.


The Examiner also required election of a single species for prosecution, in the event that no generic claim is found allowable.

In response, applicants elect the Group I subject matter, without traverse.

In response to the species election requirement, applicants elect the compound of Example 6, at page 25 of the specification:



Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10 and 13 read on the elected species, when R<sup>14</sup> is CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>; R<sup>4</sup> is




wherein X is F; R<sup>1</sup> is hydrogen; m is 0; R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>3</sup> together with the nitrogen to which they are

mutually attached complete a monocyclic heterocyclic ring system of 6 ring atoms selected from C and N, said ring system substituted with R<sup>12</sup> wherein R<sup>12</sup> is trifluoromethyl.

In view of the foregoing, an early and favorable examination is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By



John C. Todaro, Reg. No. 36,036  
Attorney for Applicants

MERCK & CO., Inc.  
P.O. Box 2000  
Rahway, New Jersey 07065  
Tel.: (732) 594-0125

Date: August 1, 2007